Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the

application:

Listing of Claims:

Claims 1 through 36 were previously cancelled.

Claim 37 (currently amended): A system for signaling content quality of preexisting

digital data between digital devices including a digital source and data, to a digital

receiver, the preexisting digital data having a plurality of data records, at least one record,

the at least one record each of the plurality of data records having a plurality of data fields,

at-least one data field, the system comprising:

assignment means for assigning a grade indicative of the quality of the content

of the preexisting digital data for using at least one or more predefined sets of criteria;

and,

means for marking the preexisting digital data with the assigned grade without

changing and without accessing the content of the preexisting digital data;

wherein the digital receiver dynamically accesses the marked grade of the

preexisting digital data to determine suitability for subsequent use of the preexisting

digital data without having to access accessing the preexisting data digital data;

whereby another digital receiver can independently determine suitability from

the marked grade for another subsequent use of the preexisting digital data without

accessing the preexisting digital data.

Claim 38 (currently amended):

The system as recited in claim 37 further comprising

means for associating a portion of a filename file name to the assigned grade.

Claim 39 (currently amended):

The system as recited in claim 38 wherein the

filename file name corresponds to a file containing the preexisting digital data.

Claim 40 (currently amended):

The system as recited in claim 37 further comprising

means for associating a grade file with the assigned grade.

Claim 41 (currently amended):

The system as recited in claim 37 wherein the

quality of the content corresponds to a particular data field of the at least one data field

plurality of data fields.

Claim 42 (currently amended):

The system as recited in claim 37 wherein the

quality of the content corresponds to a particular record of the at least one record the

plurality of data records.

Claim 43 (currently amended):

The system as recited in claim 42 wherein a

particular quality of the content corresponds to a particular record of the at least one

record the plurality of data records.

The system as recited in claim 42 wherein a Claim 44 (currently amended):

particular quality of the content corresponds to a particular field of the at least one

particular field plurality of data fields.

The system as recited in claim 37 wherein at least Claim 45 (previously presented):

one of the one or more predefined sets of criteria for determining the quality of the

content is a predefined function.

The system as recited in claim 37 wherein at least Claim 46 (previously presented):

one of the one or more predefined sets of criteria for determining the quality of the

content accesses a database.

The system as recited in claim 37 wherein at least Claim 47 (previously presented):

one of the one or more predefined sets of criteria for determining the quality of the

content is an externally defined function.

The system as recited in claim 37 wherein at least Claim 48 (previously presented):

one of the one or more predefined sets of criteria for determining the quality of the content

uses access to an independent database.

Claim 49 (currently amended):

The system as recited in claim 37 wherein the

assigned grade is a numeric value, a color, or a Boolean.

Claim 50 (currently amended):

The system as recited in claim 37 further comprising

means for associating the assigned grade to a data set.

Claim 51 (currently amended):

The system as recited in claim 37 wherein at least

one of the one or more predefined sets of criteria for assigning a grade determining the

quality is selected by the digital receiver.

Claim 52 (currently amended):

The system as recited in claim 37 further comprising

means for assigning a rating as a function of the assigned grade.

Claim 53 (currently amended):

The system as recited in claim 37 wherein a different

one of the one or more predefined sets of criteria for assigning a grade determining the

quality provides a different assigned grade for the preexisting data.

Claim 54 (previously presented): A method for signaling content quality of

preexisting digital data between digital devices including a digital source and data, to a

digital receiver, the preexisting digital data having a plurality of data records, at least one

record, the at least one record each of the plurality of data records having a plurality of data

fields, at least one data field, the method comprising the following steps:

assigning a grade indicative of the quality of the content of the preexisting <u>digital</u> data for <u>using</u> at least one or more predefined sets of criteria; and,

marking the preexisting <u>digital</u> data with the assigned grade without changing <u>and</u> without accessing the content of the preexisting <u>digital</u> data;

wherein the digital receiver dynamically accesses the marked grade of the preexisting digital data to determine suitability for subsequent use of the preexisting data without having to access the preexisting digital data;

whereby another digital receiver can independently determine suitability from the marked grade for another subsequent use of the preexisting digital data without accessing the preexisting digital data.

Claim 55 (currently amended): The method as recited in claim 54 further comprising the step of associating a portion of a filename file name to the assigned grade.

Claim 56 (currently amended): The system as recited in claim 55 wherein the filename file name corresponds to a file containing the preexisting digital data.

Claim 57 (currently amended): The method as recited in claim 54 further comprising the step of associating a grade file with the <u>assigned</u> grade.

Claim 58 (currently amended):

The method as recited in claim 54 wherein the

quality of the content corresponds to a particular data field of the at least one data field

plurality of data fields.

Claim 59 (previously presented): The method as recited in claim 54 wherein the

quality of the content corresponds to a particular record of the at least one record the

plurality of data records.

Claim 60 (previously presented): The system as recited in claim 59 wherein a

particular quality of the content corresponds to a particular record of the at-least one

record the plurality of data records.

Claim 61 (previously presented): The system as recited in claim 59 wherein a

particular quality of the content corresponds to a particular field of the at least one record

the plurality of data records.

Claim 62 (previously presented): The method as recited in claim 54 wherein at least

one of the one or more predefined sets of criteria for determining the quality of the

content is a predefined function.

Claim 63 (previously presented): The method as recited in claim 54 wherein at least

one of the one or more predefined sets of criteria for determining the quality of the

content accesses a database.

Claim 64 (previously presented): The method as recited in claim 54 wherein at least

one of the one or more predefined sets of criteria for determining the quality of the

content is an externally defined function.

Claim 65 (previously presented): The method as recited in claim 54 wherein at least

one of the one or more predefined sets of criteria for determining the quality of the content

uses access to an independent database.

Claim 66 (currently amended): The method as recited in claim 54 wherein the

assigned grade is a numeric value, a color, or a Boolean.

Claim 67 (currently amended): The method as recited in claim 54 further

comprising the step of associating the <u>assigned</u> grade to a data set.

Claim 68 (currently amended): The method as recited in claim 54 wherein at least

one of the one or more predefined sets of criteria for assigning a grade determining the

quality is selected by the digital receiver.

Claim 69 (currently amended): The method as recited in claim 54 further

comprising the step of assigning a rating as a function of the assigned grade.

Appl. No. 10/194,499

Amdt: dated April 11, 2005

Amendment & Response

Claim 70 (currently amended): The method as recited in claim 54 wherein a

different one of the one or more predefined sets of criteria for assigning a grade

determining the quality provides a different assigned grade for the preexisting digital

data.